



# AGENDA

*Technical Advisory Committee*

## **Committee Members**

Raja Sethuraman, Chair	<i>City of Costa Mesa</i>
Jamie Lai, Vice Chair	<i>City of Yorba Linda</i>
Shaun Pelletier	<i>City of Aliso Viejo</i>
Rudy Emami	<i>City of Anaheim</i>
Michael Ho	<i>City of Brea</i>
Mina Mikhael	<i>City of Buena Park</i>
Doug Dances	<i>City of Cypress</i>
Matthew Sinacori	<i>City of Dana Point</i>
Temo Galvez	<i>City of Fountain Valley</i>
Stephen Bise	<i>City of Fullerton</i>
William (Bill) Murray	<i>City of Garden Grove</i>
Chau Vu	<i>City of Huntington Beach</i>
Jaimee Bourgeois	<i>City of Irvine</i>
Albert Mendoza	<i>City of La Habra</i>
Andy Ramirez	<i>City of La Palma</i>
Mark Trestik	<i>City of Laguna Beach</i>
Joe Ames	<i>City of Laguna Hills</i>
Jacki Scott	<i>City of Laguna Niguel</i>
Akram Hindiyeh	<i>City of Laguna Woods</i>
Tom Wheeler	<i>City of Lake Forest</i>
Chris Kelley	<i>City of Los Alamitos</i>
Mark Chagnon	<i>City of Mission Viejo</i>
David Webb	<i>City of Newport Beach</i>
Christopher Cash	<i>City of Orange</i>
Luis Estevez	<i>City of Placentia</i>
Brendan Dugan	<i>City of Rancho Santa Margarita</i>
Kiel Koger	<i>City of San Clemente</i>
Tom Toman	<i>City of San Juan Capistrano</i>
Nabil Saba	<i>City of Santa Ana</i>
Iris Lee	<i>City of Seal Beach</i>
Cesar Rangel	<i>City of Stanton</i>
Doug Stack	<i>City of Tustin</i>
Hamid Torkamanha	<i>City of Villa Park</i>
Jake Ngo	<i>City of Westminster</i>
Robert McLean	<i>County of Orange</i>
Tifini Tran	<i>Caltrans Ex-Officio</i>

*Orange County Transportation Authority  
550 South Main Street, Room 09  
Orange, California  
**April 26, 2023, 1:30pm***

## **Teleconference Site**

*City of Dana Point – Public Works  
33282 Golden Lantern, Suite 212  
Dana Point, California*

*Any person with a disability who requires a modification or accommodation to participate in this meeting should contact the Orange County Transportation Authority (OCTA) Measure M2 Local Programs section, telephone (714) 560-5528, no less than two (2) business days prior to this meeting to enable OCTA to make reasonable arrangements to assure accessibility to this meeting.*



# AGENDA

Technical Advisory Committee

---

## ***Agenda Descriptions***

*The agenda descriptions are intended to give members of the public a general summary of items of business to be transacted or discussed. The posting of the recommended actions does not indicate what action will be taken. The Committee may take any action which it deems to be appropriate on the agenda item and is not limited in any way by the notice of the recommended action.*

## ***Public Availability of Agenda Materials***

*All documents relative to the items referenced in this agenda are available for public inspection at [www.octa.net](http://www.octa.net) or through the Clerk of the Board's office at: OCTA Headquarters, 600 South Main Street, Orange, California.*

## ***In-Person Comment***

*Members of the public may attend in-person and address the Committee regarding any item. Speakers will be recognized by the Chairman at the time the agenda item is to be considered.*

## ***Written Comment***

*Written public comments may also be submitted by emailing them to [kmartinez@octa.net](mailto:kmartinez@octa.net) and must be sent 90 minutes prior to the start time of the meeting. If you wish to comment on a specific agenda item, please identify the item number in your email. All public comments that are timely received will be part of the public record and distributed to the Committee. Public comments will be made available to the public upon request.*



## Call to Order

## Self-Introductions

### 1. Approval of Minutes

- A. Approval of Technical Advisory Committee regular meeting minutes from the November 9, 2022 meeting.
- B. Approval of Technical Advisory Committee regular meeting minutes from the February 22, 2023 meeting.

## Regular Items

### 2. Comprehensive Transportation Funding Programs Semi-Annual Review March 2023 – Charvalen Alacar

#### **Overview**

The Orange County Transportation Authority recently completed the March 2023 semi-annual review of projects funded through the Comprehensive Transportation Funding Programs. This process reviews the status of Measure M2 grant-funded projects and provides an opportunity for local agencies to update project information and request project modifications. Recommended project adjustments are presented for review and approval.

#### **Recommendations**

- A. Recommend Board of Directors approval of requested adjustments to proposed Comprehensive Transportation Funding Programs, Local Fair Share and Senior Mobility Program Projects.
- B. Recommend Board of Directors approval to waive certain Comprehensive Transportation Funding Programs guidelines (documented in this staff report and attachments) in order to incorporate requested project adjustments submitted due to the unique circumstances created by the coronavirus pandemic.

## Discussion Items

- 3. **Infrastructure Investments and Jobs Act (IIJA) / Bipartisan Infrastructure Law (BIL) Funding** – Adriann Cardoso
- 4. **2023 Complete Streets Call for Projects** – Louis Zhao
- 5. **2024 M2 Project V Call for Projects Update** – Charvalen Alacar



# AGENDA

*Technical Advisory Committee*

---

## **6. Correspondence**

OCTA Board Items of Interest – Please see Attachment A.  
Announcements by Email – Please see Attachment B.

## **7. Committee Comments**

## **8. Staff Comments**

- Environmental Cleanup Project (Project X) Tier 1 Call – Adrian Salazar
- M2 Eligibility FY 2023/24 Submittals – Kelsey Imler

## **9. Items for Future Agendas**

## **10. Caltrans Local Assistance Update**

## **11. Public Comments**

## **12. Adjournment**

*The Technical Advisory Committee is scheduled to convene on the fourth Wednesday of each month, at 1:30 p.m., at OCTA Headquarters.*



# **November 9, 2022 Minutes**



# AGENDA

Technical Advisory Committee

Item# 1A

**Voting Representatives Present:**

Shaun Pelletier	City of Aliso Viejo
Rudy Emami	City of Anaheim
Mina Mikhael	City of Buena Park
Raja Sethuraman	City of Costa Mesa
Fiona Man	County of Orange
Matthew Sinacori	City of Dana Point
Temo Galvez	City of Fountain Valley
Steven Bise	City of Fullerton
Chao Vu	City of Huntington Beach
Jaimee Bourgeois	City of Irvine
Tony An	City of La Habra
Mark Trestik	City of Laguna Beach
Jacki Scott	City of Laguna Niguel
Akram Hindiyeh	City of Laguna Woods
Tom Wheeler	City of Lake Forest
Chris Kelley	City of Los Alamitos
David Webb	City of Newport Beach
Christopher Cash	City of Orange
Brendan Dugan	City of Rancho Santa Margarita
Zak Ponsen	City of San Clemente
Tom Toman	City of San Juan Capistrano
Rudy Rosas	City of Santa Ana
Iris Lee	City of Seal Beach
Cesar Rangel	City of Stanton
Doug Stack	City of Tustin
Jamie Lai	City of Yorba Linda
Tifini Tran	Caltrans Ex-Officio

Orange County Transportation Authority  
550 South Main Street, Room 09  
Orange, California

**November 9, 2022 1:30 PM**

**Guest Present:**

Oliver Luu, Caltrans  
Han Sol Yoo, City of Stanton  
Nichole Squirrell, City of Dana Point  
Matt Kunk, City of Dana Pont

**Staff Present:**

Kia Mortazavi  
Kurt Brotcke  
Adriann Cardoso  
Charvalen Alacar  
Adrian Salazar  
Cynthia Morales  
Nylinne Nguyen  
Kristopher Martinez

**Voting Representatives Absent:**

Michael Ho	City of Brea
Doug Dancs	City of Cypress
William (Bill) Murray	City of Garden Grove
Michael Belknap	City of La Palma
Joe Ames	City of Laguna Hills
Mark Chagnon	City of Mission Viejo
Luis Estevez	City of Placentia
Hamid Torkamanha	City of Villa Park
Jake Ngo	City of Westminster



*Meeting was called to order by Chair Pelletier at 1:30 p.m.*

## **Self-Introductions**

### **CONSENT CALENDAR**

#### **1. The Minutes for the June 22, 2022 meeting were approved.**

Mr. Wheeler motioned to approve the minutes.

Mr. Stack seconded the motion.

Mr. Ponsen stated that he nor anyone representing the City of San Clemente were present at the June 22, 2022 Technical Advisory Committee meeting

The Minutes were approved; there was no further discussion.

## **Regular Items**

#### **2. 2023 Technical Steering Committee Membership – Charvalen Alacar**

Ms. Alacar presented recommendations for the seven open positions on the Technical Steering Committee, including the Chair, Vice Chair, District 2 representative, District 3 Representative, District 5 Representative, one At-Large representative, and a District 1 representative.

Ms. Alacar stated that OCTA received 9 letters of interest from Technical Advisory Committee members. The 2023 membership recommendations were made in coordination with the President of the City Engineers Association of Orange County and the TAC and TSC Chair.

Ms. Alacar stated that OCTA is seeking the Technical Advisory Committee's approval to advance these recommendations to the OCTA Regional Planning and Highways Committee and OCTA Board of Directors in December.

Ms. Alacar reported that consistent with past practice, it is recommended that Interim Vice Chair Raja Sethuraman be appointed to the position of Chair.

Ms. Alacar stated that Jaimie Lai from the City of Yorba Linda is recommended to be appointed Vice Chair. Iris Lee from the City of Seal Beach was recommended to be appointed District 1 Representative, serving one year and completing the term of the resigned representative. Nabil Saba from the City of Santa Ana was



# AGENDA

*Technical Advisory Committee*

*Item# 1A*

---

recommended to be appointed District 2 Representative. Tom Wheeler from the City of Lake Forest was recommended to be appointed District 3 Representative. Mark Trestik from the City of Laguna Beach was recommended to be appointed District 5 Representative. Jacki Scott from the City of Laguna Niguel was recommended to be appointed as an At-Large Representative.

Ms. Alacar stated that while developing the recommendations, the selection committee sought to maintain balanced representation between small and large jurisdictions. The provided recommendations best achieve this goal.

Ms. Vu motioned to approve.

Mr. Emami seconded the motion.

## **Discussion Items**

### **3. Correspondence**

- OCTA Board Items of Interest – See Agenda
- Announcements Sent by Email – See Agenda

### **4. Committee Comments - None**

### **5. Staff Comments**

Ms. Alacar reported on the status of the September 2022 Semi Annual Review of CTFP projects. Staff opened the Semi-Annual Review in August, and it closed in September of 2022.

Ms. Alacar stated that OCTA received 14 requests, nine of which were for Timely Use of Funds extensions for Local Fair Share and Senior Mobility Program funds, one for transfer of savings and four for scope changes.

Ms. Alacar explained that staff had originally intended to go RP&H and the OCTA Board for approval of these project adjustments on December 12, 2022. Given that deadline for these project adjustments do not require extensions beyond the March 2023 Semi-Annual review. Staff is proposing taking these 14 items and recommending them with the March 2023 recommendations that will be going to the Board in June 2023.

Ms. Alacar stated that for the transfer of savings and scope changes, staff can provide comments to local jurisdictions on these items to allow them to move





# AGENDA

*Technical Advisory Committee*

*Item# 1A*

---

forward as such, so to prevent any delays from implementing any construction tied to the scope change.

Ms. Cardoso clarified that the letter that OCTA would send out would not be a formal approval but would state that projects may begin at risk of the Board's approval.

Ms. Alacar reported on the status of the 2023 CTFP Project O and Project P call for projects. In the 2023 call, OCTA received 12 applications requesting a total of \$50 million. The \$50 million total includes six reapplications. The previous total allocation of the six projects that reapplied was approximately \$27 million. Per temporary policy changes approved by the Board, that amount would be in addition to the 2023 CTFP Project O and Project P call capacity of \$45 million.

Ms. Alacar stated that the total call capacity would be \$71.6 million including the additional funding from the cancelled projects assuming the six projects that had applied for reapplication are granted and approved by the Board.

Ms. Lai asked for clarification on the total fund amount.

Ms. Alacar stated that call capacity was \$45 million, and the 6 reapplications add an additional \$27 million, resulting in a total \$72 million call capacity.

Ms. Alacar stated that staff is currently reviewing applications and technical memos. Local agencies can expect to hear back in the coming weeks. OCTA anticipates having programming recommendations ready for Board approval in late spring, also going to the TAC and TSC in the February or March timeframe. She added that OCTA is on schedule to let the agencies that reapplied if they will be awarded a higher funded amount.

Ms. Bourgeois asked if the call capacity is in excess or short of the total application amount.

Ms. Alacar stated that the call capacity is in excess of the application amount assuming all applications were approved.

Mr. Sethuraman asked if projects that reapplied can keep their original grant in the event that they are not awarded.

Ms. Alacar confirmed that projects that reapplied and are not awarded will be able to keep their original grant.



# AGENDA

*Technical Advisory Committee*

*Item# 1A*

---

Ms. Alacar reported on the other component of the temporary policy changes, cost escalation adjustments. OCTA received ten requests from six lead agencies. Four of the requests are for Project P grants, three of which are OCTA lead. The remaining six requests are for Project O grants.

Ms. Alacar stated that two requests are for the right of way phase and seven requests are for the construction phase. There are still discussions taking place surrounding the two right of way escalation adjustment requests to determine what the escalated amounts would be. Preliminarily, the estimated adjustment request is for \$4.4 million.

Ms. Alacar reported that this is anticipated to be taken to the board in January for final recommendations. There will be further communication in December and January regarding accepting awards.

Mr. Stack asked if those recommendations will go to the TAC before they go to the Board.

Ms. Alacar responded that the recommendations for escalation adjustments will go directly to the Board. Reapplications would go through the TAC.

Mr. Brotcke stated that the agencies that have received escalation adjustments will know in advance.

Mr. Stack asked if the semi-annual review would be delayed until the next year and if the TAC would receive a summary of the changes that occurred at the Semi-Annual Review.

Ms. Alacar confirmed that the information would be added into the March Semi Annual Review and be brought to the TAC in the April/May timeframe.

Mr. Salazar reported on the status of the 2022 Project X Tier 1 Call. 14 applications were received, and one was withdrawn resulting in 13 eligible applications. The 13 applications total \$3.12 million and are being recommended for Board approval on November 14.

Mr. Salazar stated that there was a delay in the programming process due to difficulty finding application scoring committee members for the review process, therefore OCTA has requested pre-award authority on the behalf of the applicants to avoid further delays. OCTA will contact the local agencies upon notification of Board approval and a reminder of pre-award authority.



## 6. Items for Future Agendas – None

## 7. Caltrans Local Assistance Update – Oliver Luu

Mr. Luu provided deadlines for when to submit allocations, time extensions and local assistance. He noted that the deadline for the December CTC meeting has passed and the 2023 CTC meeting schedule has not been published yet. He stated that November 28, 2022 is the deadline for the January CTC meeting and January 23, 2023 is the deadline for the March 2023 CTC meeting.

Mr. Luu reminded the TAC to submit their inactive invoices as soon as possible because their funding may be jeopardized. The current inactive quarter began on October 1, 2022. The deadline to submit inactive invoices is November 23, 2022. The inactive list can be seen at the location of the provided link.

Mr. Luu stated that agencies that have inactive invoices as of October 20, 2022 should contact their Area Engineer Planner as soon as possible.

Mr. Luu reminded the TAC that exhibits 9B and 9C which are the annual Disadvantaged Business Enterprise (DBE) submittal form and ADA annual certification form, are due on June 30 and are required for projects to get authorization for funding. He urged to submit late of they have not submitted, to be eligible for federal funding.

Mr. Luu stated that the Quality Assurance Program is updated and approved every five years. This ensures materials and forms are up to contract plans and specifications. Similarly, this is required to be eligible for federal funding.

Mr. Luu stated that the CTC looked off the statewide component, ATP cycle six. The Metropolitan Planning Organization (MPO) component will be posted in May and finalized in time for the June CTC meeting.

Mr. Luu stated that Cycle 2 has been announced for Clean California, with the call for projects taking place January 2023, with the application deadline in April 2023 and project award notifications in August or September.

Mr. Luu stated that there would be project application workshops at the beginning of next year, providing an opportunity to communicate with the Clean California managers.



# AGENDA

*Technical Advisory Committee*

*Item# 1A*

---

Mr. Luu stated that the Highway Safety Improvement Program (HSIP) cycle 11 recently ended and the applications are under review. This program has approximately \$174 million statewide, applications will be ranked by benefit cost ratio. Cycle 11 will be state funded.

Mr. Luu stated that Caltrans recently implemented new requirements for Buy America that will take effect after the meeting.

Mr. Luu reported that \$14 million in CRRSAA funds was allocated over 30 projects in Orange County. He specified that CRRSAA funds are distributed through PMRF in Orange County.

Mr. Luu presented on upcoming training opportunities such as the Federal Aid Series and Resident Engineers Academy.

Mr. Luu reminded that projects that receive any amount of Federal funds must comply with Title VI requirements.

Mr. Luu provided up to date Caltrans contact information.

**8. Public Comments – None**

**9. The meeting was adjourned at 2:11 p.m.**



# **February 22, 2023 Minutes**



# AGENDA

Technical Advisory Committee

Item# 1B

## ***Voting Representatives Present:***

Shaun Pelletier	City of Aliso Viejo
Rudy Emami	City of Anaheim
Mina Mikhael	City of Buena Park
Raja Sethuraman	City of Costa Mesa
Robert McLean	County of Orange
Matthew Sinacori	City of Dana Point
Temo Galvez	City of Fountain Valley
Stephen Bise	City of Fullerton
Chau Vu	City of Huntington Beach
Mark Trestik	City of Laguna Beach
Jacki Scott	City of Laguna Niguel
Akram Hindiyeh	City of Laguna Woods
Tom Wheeler	City of Lake Forest
Mark Chagnon	City of Mission Viejo
Christopher Cash	City of Orange
Luis Estevez	City of Placentia
Brendan Dugan	City of Rancho Santa Margarita
Zak Ponsen	City of San Clemente
Tom Toman	City of San Juan Capistrano
Nabil Saba	City of Santa Ana
Iris Lee	City of Seal Beach
Cesar Rangel	City of Stanton
Jamie Lai	City of Yorba Linda

Orange County Transportation Authority  
550 South Main Street, Room 09  
Orange, California

**February 22, 2023 2:00 PM**

## **Guest Present:**

Nichole Squirrel, City of Dana Point

## **Staff Present:**

Kurt Brotcke  
Adriann Cardoso  
Charvalen Alacar  
Heidi Buslinger  
Adrian Salazar  
Alicia Yang  
Cynthia Morales  
Jonathan Lee  
Melanie Masud  
Paul Rodriguez  
Kristopher Martinez

## ***Voting Representatives Absent:***

Michael Ho	City of Brea
Doug Dancs	City of Cypress
William (Bill) Murray	City of Garden Grove
Jaimee Bourgeois	City of Irvine
Albert Mendoza	City of La Habra
Michael Belknap	City of La Palma
Joe Ames	City of Laguna Hills
Chris Kelley	City of Los Alamitos
David Webb	City of Newport Beach
Doug Stack	City of Tustin
Hamid Torkamanha	City of Villa Park
Jake Ngo	City of Westminster
Tifini Tran	Caltrans Ex Officio



*Meeting was called to order by Mr. Sethuraman at 2:00 p.m.*

*Self-introductions were made, and Discussion Items 3 & 5 were heard first due to lack of quorum.*

## **Discussion Items**

### **3. Correspondence**

- OCTA Board Items of Interest – See Agenda
- Announcements by Email – See Agenda

### **5. Staff Comments**

Ms. Busslinger reminded all agencies who applied for the 2021 Pavement Management Relief Funding (PMRF) Program that the obligation deadline is July 1, 2023.

Ms. Busslinger stated that in the PMRF guidelines OCTA suggested that agencies submit allocation forms and authorization requests to Caltrans Local Assistance as soon as possible, but no later than April 1, 2023.

Ms. Busslinger reported that Caltrans has indicated to some local agencies that that the earliest they could approve E-76 requests may be in July which would be after this deadline.

Ms. Busslinger stated that since the guidelines require the E76 submittal to be submitted by April 1, 2023, the deadline for E76 obligation will be extended to the end of the federal fiscal year or September 30, 2023, only for projects that submit the required forms by April 1 of 2023.

Ms. Busslinger explained that any PMRF projects that submit E76 forms by April 1, 2023 will be allowed to obligate the funds up until September 30, 2023 and any PMRF funding that does not meet the E76 submittal deadline of April 1, 2023 will be transferred to OCTA to be reprogrammed to a priority OCTA project.

Ms. Busslinger stated that projects that submit their E76 by April 1, 2023 will have until September 30, 2023 to obligate and any PMRF funding that is not able to receive E76 approval by September 30, 2023 will also revert to OCTA.

Ms. Busslinger stated that in order to verify an April 1, 2023 submittal, OCTA staff will ask for back up, such as an email, and will confirm with Caltrans when the E76 request was received in order to verify that the deadline was met.

Ms. Busslinger directed the agencies to contact their Caltrans Local Assistance liaison with any questions regarding the obligation documents.



# AGENDA

*Technical Advisory Committee*

*Item# 1B*

---

Ms. Busslinger asked the agencies to inform OCTA as soon as possible if they do not intend to utilize their share of the PMRF Program funds.

Ms. Lai asked if a written notification would be provided.

Ms. Busslinger responded that a written notification could be provided regarding the extension request for those projects that submit their documents by the April 1, 2023 deadline.

Ms. Busslinger clarified that if the documents are not submitted to Caltrans by April 1, 2023, then the July 1, 2023 deadline is still eligible, and if that approval deadline is not met, then funds would also be subject to being rescinded to OCTA.

Ms. Morales stated that the March 2023 Semi-Annual Review (SAR) is open and will remain open until March 17, 2023, and that this would be the time to submit any CTFP project adjustments, such as delays, scope changes, fund extensions, or transfers of savings.

Ms. Morales added that this is also the time to submit any funds extension request for Local Fair Share and the Senior Mobility Program

Ms. Morales provided a reminder that the CTFP projects programed in fiscal year 2023 have an award deadline of June 30, 2023, and the March SAR would be the time to submit any delay request.

Ms. Morales stated that project updates and adjustment requests must be made in OCFundtracker by March 17, 2023 and asked the members to contact her if they or their staff need access to OCFundtracker.

Ms. Morales introduced Kristopher Martinez, Associate Transportation Funding Analyst, from OCTA's Local Programs section.

Ms. Morales stated that Mr. Martinez will assume her prior role as the lead for TSC and TAC and asked that going forward the agencies contact him for any questions or updates pertaining to both the TSC and TAC.

Mr. Salazar reported that on February 13, 2023, the OCTA Board authorized the 2023 call for projects for the M2 CTFP Environmental Cleanup Program (ECP – Project X) Tier 1.

Mr. Salazar stated that the 2023 call is now open and that applications are due by Thursday, April 27, 2023, at 5:00 pm

Mr. Salazar informed the agencies of an in-person OC Stormwater: NPDES Permittees meeting on February 23, 2023, at 1:30 pm at Irvine City Hall, where OCTA will provide a workshop for the latest Tier 1 call.





# AGENDA

*Technical Advisory Committee*

*Item# 1B*

---

- 4. **Committee Comments** – None
- 6. **Items for Future Agendas** – None
- 7. **Caltrans Local Assistance Update** – None
- 8. **Public Comments** – None

## **Regular Items**

### **2. 2023 CTFP Call for Projects – O and P Programming Recommendations – Adrian Salazar**

Mr. Sethuraman stated that quorum had not been met and that the Technical Advisory Committee would hear the item but that there would be no vote to approve the recommendations unless quorum was met.

Mr. Salazar reported that on August 8, 2022, the Board approved funding of approximately \$45 million for regional roadway capacity and signal synchronization projects countywide.

Mr. Salazar stated that as of the call deadline of October 20, 2022, OCTA received a total of nine RCP and three RTSSP projects.

Mr. Salazar stated that through the RCP program, nine applications were submitted to OCTA from five local jurisdictions requesting a total of \$46.36 million in RCP funding.

Mr. Salazar added that during the application evaluation process, two project applications were withdrawn and the seven remaining applications were evaluated and ranked as per the scoring criteria identified in the approved program guidelines.

Mr. Salazar stated that based upon these reviews and project consistency with the guidelines, seven projects are recommended to receive \$33.48 million.

Mr. Salazar reported that the recommendation includes one project in the City of Irvine, four projects in the City of Santa Ana, and two projects in the City of Yorba Linda.

Mr. Salazar clarified that of the seven recommended projects, five will provide arterial capacity improvement benefits (with construction and/or engineering phase allocations) and two projects will provide construction funding for intersection capacity enhancements.



# AGENDA

*Technical Advisory Committee*

*Item# 1B*

---

Mr. Salazar noted that the number of new RCP applications submitted for consideration in this call is considerably lower than in pre-pandemic years and explained that based upon agency outreach, there seems to be a variety of reasons including local jurisdictions moving away from roadway capacity toward supporting non-motorized transportation projects, local jurisdictions indicating a full workload for development and delivery of previously funded project phases and planning for capacity projects in future years as traffic volumes change, and a limited need for capacity enhancements amongst smaller agencies.

Mr. Salazar stated that with respect to RTSSP, OCTA received three applications requesting \$3.64 million in funding. All applications were also reviewed for eligibility, consistency, and adherence to guidelines and overall program objectives.

Mr. Salazar stated that staff's recommendation is to program \$3.66 million to fund all three projects anticipated to be implemented by the local jurisdictions in fiscal year 2023-24.

Mr. Salazar reported that the ten projects under the RCP and RTSSP programs are consistent with the 2023 (CTFP) guidelines approved by the Board, and staff is recommending programming \$37.1 million for these projects.

Mr. Salazar concluded that if approved by the Technical Steering Committee (TSC), Technical Advisory Committee (TAC), and the Board, these projects will be incorporated into master funding agreements between OCTA and the appropriate local jurisdictions.

Mr. Sethuraman reiterated that there would not be a vote on this item.

Mr. Sethuraman asked what happens to the \$8 million not used.

Mr. Salazar responded that one note that was mentioned to the OCTA Board when the previous year's call for projects was being programmed was that unused funds would go towards addressing the future needs of Projects O and P.

Ms. Vu stated that based on the comments, the call for projects sounds less competitive and asked if there would be a shift in funding, possibly towards active transportation if capacity is not there anymore.

Ms. Cardoso stated every year there are new guidelines, and priorities for each call are discussed.

Ms. Cardoso added that there are some limitations due to the M2 Ordinance but that in the last cycle OCTA tried to have active transportation projects as a higher



# AGENDA

*Technical Advisory Committee*

*Item# 1B*

---

scoring value, but at the time, the desire of the committee was to maintain the existing program and prioritize capacity projects.

Ms. Cardoso concluded that changes can be discussed during the next guidelines revisions.

## **CONSENT CALENDAR**

### **1. The Minutes for the November 9, 2022 meeting were not approved.**

Mr. Sethuraman stated that the quorum had not been met and a vote could not be taken to approve the Minutes.

Ms. Cardoso explained that since a vote could not be taken, the staff report that is presented to the Board will note that the CTFP recommendations were approved by the TSC and that a report was brought to the TAC. She stated that OCTA will still recommend approval to the Board so as not to slow down the process.

### **10. The meeting was adjourned at 2:12 p.m.**



## **AGENDA**

*Technical Advisory Committee*

*Item# 2*

---

# **Comprehensive Transportation Funding Programs Semi-Annual Review – March 2023**



*April 26, 2023*

**To:** Technical Advisory Committee  
**From:** Orange County Transportation Authority Staff  
**Subject:** Comprehensive Transportation Funding Programs Semi-Annual Review – March 2023

### **Overview**

The Orange County Transportation Authority recently completed the March 2023 semi-annual review of projects funded through the Comprehensive Transportation Funding Programs. This process reviews the status of Measure M2 grant-funded projects and provides an opportunity for local agencies to update project information and request project modifications. Recommended project adjustments are presented for review and approval.

### **Recommendations**

- A. Recommend Board of Directors approval of requested adjustments to proposed Comprehensive Transportation Funding Programs, Local Fair Share and Senior Mobility Program Projects.
- B. Recommend Board of Directors approval to waive certain Comprehensive Transportation Funding Programs guidelines (documented in this staff report and attachments) in order to incorporate requested project adjustments submitted due to the unique circumstances created by the coronavirus pandemic.

### **Background**

The Comprehensive Transportation Funding Programs (CTFP) is the mechanism which the Orange County Transportation Authority (OCTA) uses to administer funding for street, road, signal, transit, and water quality projects. The CTFP contains a variety of funding programs and sources, including Measure M2 (M2) revenues, State funding programs. The CTFP provides local agencies with a comprehensive set of guidelines for administration and delivery of various transportation funding grants.

---

Every six months, OCTA works with representatives from local agencies, as needed, to review the status of projects and proposed project changes. This process is known as the semi-annual review. The goals of the semi-annual review are to review project status, determine the continued viability of projects, address local agency concerns, confirm availability of local matching funds, and ensure timely closeout of all projects funded through the CTFP.

***Discussion***

The March 2023 semi-annual review proposed adjustments include five cancellations, five delays, three timely-use of funds extensions for CTFP projects, 24 timely-use of funds extensions for the Local Fair Share (LFS) Program, 64 timely-use of funds extensions for the Senior Mobility Program (SMP), 10 scope changes, and three project transfers.

Local agencies identified several reasons for the proposed project adjustments, which included the following:

- Cancellation (reapplication due to inflation),
- Delays (coronavirus (COVID-19) related, construction coordination, and funding coordination),
- Extensions (COVID-19 related, project closeout delays, and construction delays),
- Scope changes (COVID-19 related, construction issues, enhanced project benefits, modification of equipment being installed, location change of equipment), and
- Transfer of funds (project savings)

For detailed descriptions of the project adjustment requests listed above, see Attachments A and B.

Many of the reasons identified above for the proposed modifications are consistent with expectations for a March semi-annual review cycle, which is typically focused on encumbrance and expenditure deadline extensions. However, it should also be noted that 67 of the 114 total project adjustment requests submitted for this semi-annual review cycle are reported as being in some part due to the prolonged effects of the pandemic, specifically as it pertains to labor shortages and supply chain impacts.

Given these impacts, staff is proposing a one-time exception to the M2 Eligibility Guidelines and CTFP Guidelines requirements for three LFS and four SMP timely-use of funds extensions for disbursements made in fiscal year 2019-20 during the start of the pandemic. The exceptions specifically relate to the LFS

---

requirement, which states requests for extensions shall be submitted prior to expiration and a plan of expenditure be submitted with semi-annual review timely use of funds extension request. Due to COVID-19 impacts, it has taken local jurisdictions longer to expend the LFS funds within the three-year required expenditure deadline due to construction-related delays. Staff is also proposing an exception to the SMP guidelines, which state participating cities and eligible agencies requesting an extension beyond the three-year limitation must submit a justification letter for review and approval by OCTA at least 90 days prior to the end of the third fiscal year. The senior population remains particularly vulnerable to COVID-19, and program usage and transit ridership has not recovered to pre-pandemic levels. Therefore, given these challenges, approval of these guidelines exception is recommended. In every instance, where a guidelines waiver is requested, it is noted in Attachment A and further discussed in Attachment B.

In order to provide local agencies with the flexibility needed to continue delivering projects within the confines of M2, staff is requesting that the Technical Advisory Committee (TAC) recommend OCTA Board of Directors (Board) approval of all proposed semi-annual review adjustments identified in Attachment A. If these recommendations are ultimately approved by the OCTA Board, staff will monitor the implementation of these proposed adjustments through its regular project management efforts and future semi-annual reviews which are conducted and reported on to the TAC and OCTA Board biannually.

### ***Summary***

OCTA recently completed review of all March 2023 semi-annual review project adjustment requests and staff recommends approval of these project adjustments and the CTFP Guidelines exception identified in this report.

***Attachments***

- A. Comprehensive Transportation Funding Programs, March 2023 Semi-Annual Review Adjustment Requests
- B. Comprehensive Transportation Funding Programs, March 2023 Semi-Annual Review Adjustment Request Descriptions



**Comprehensive Transportation Funding Programs  
March 2023 Semi-Annual Review Adjustment Requests**

<b>Cancellation Requests</b>							
Agency	Project Number	Project	Project Title	Phase	Current FY	Current Grant	Proposed Grant
Santa Ana <sup>1</sup>	20-SNTA-ACE-3968	O	Bristol Street Improvements Phase 3A - Civic Center Drive to Washington Avenue	CON	22/23	\$ 3,964,790	\$ -
Santa Ana <sup>1</sup>	20-SNTA-ACE-3969	O	Bristol Street Improvements Phase 4 - Warner Avenue to St. Andrew Place	CON	22/23	\$ 9,085,091	\$ -
Santa Ana <sup>1</sup>	21-SNTA-ACE-3997	O	Warner Avenue Improvements (Oak Street to Grand Avenue)	CON	23/24	\$ 9,076,305	\$ -
Santa Ana <sup>1</sup>	21-SNTA-ICE-3995	O	Bristol Street and Memory Lane Intersection Improvements	CON	23/24	\$ 1,012,500	\$ -
Yorba Linda <sup>1</sup>	21-YLND-ACE-3998	O	Lakeview Avenue Widening from Bastanchury Road to Oriente Drive	CON	23/24	\$ 580,701	\$ -
<b>Cancellations (5) - Total Phase Grants</b>						<b>\$ 23,719,387</b>	<b>\$ -</b>

**Reasons for Project Adjustments**

1. Reapplication in 2023 call for projects due to inflationary impacts, per Temporary Policy for Flexible Cancellation approved by the Orange County Transportation Board of Directors on August 8, 2022

**Acronyms**

CON - Construction  
FY - Fiscal year

**Comprehensive Transportation Funding Programs**  
 March 2023 Semi-Annual Review Adjustment Requests

Delay Requests*									
No	Agency	Project Number	Project	Project Title	Phase	Current FY	Current Grant	Proposed Delay (Months)	Proposed FY
1	OCTA <sup>1,2</sup>	21-OCTA-TSP-4000	P	Alton Parkway RTSSP	O&M	22/23	\$ 218,880	24**	24/25
2	OCTA <sup>1,2</sup>	21-OCTA-TSP-4001	P	Portola Parkway/Santa Margarita Parkway TSSP	O&M	22/23	\$ 163,200	24**	24/25
3	OCTA <sup>1,2</sup>	21-OCTA-TSP-4002	P	First Street/ Bolsa Avenue Regional Traffic Signal Synchronization	O&M	22/23	\$ 124,800	24**	24/25
4	Santa Ana <sup>3</sup>	21-SNTA-ACE-3996	O	Fairview Street Improvements (9th Street to 16th Street)	CON	22/23	\$ 3,721,590	24	24/25
5	Santa Ana <sup>1,4</sup>	22-SNTA-ACE-4020	O	Fairview Street Improvements (Monte Carlo Drive to Trask Street)	ENG	22/23	\$ 825,000	24	24/25
<b>Delays (5) - Total Phase Grants</b>							<b>\$ 5,053,470</b>		

\*Once obligated, Comprehensive Transportation Funding Programs funds expire 36 months from the contract award date. Local agencies may request up to an additional 24 months to obligate funds.

\*\* Lead agency requested delay of 12 months. Staff is recommending delay of 24 months.

**Reasons for Project Adjustments**

1. Coronavirus impacts
2. Construction related (supply chain delays, design modifications)
3. Funding delays
4. Staffing issues

**Acronyms**

- CON - Construction
- ENG - Engineering
- FY - Fiscal year
- O&M - Operations and Maintenance
- OCTA - Orange County Transportation Authority
- TSSP - Traffic Signal Synchronization Project
- RTSSP - Regional Traffic Signal Synchronization Project

**Comprehensive Transportation Funding Programs**  
 March 2023 Semi-Annual Review Adjustment Requests

<b>Timely-Use of Funds Extension Requests - Comprehensive Transportation Funding Programs*</b>									
No	Agency	Project Number	Project	Project Title	Phase	Current FY	Current Grant	Proposed Time Extension (Months)	Proposed Expenditure Deadline
1	Irvine <sup>1,2</sup>	20-IRVN-TSP-3974	P	Barranca Parkway Traffic Signal Synchronization Project	IMP	20/21	\$ 3,613,548	24	5/5/2026
2	Irvine <sup>1,2</sup>	20-IRVN-TSP-3974	P	Barranca Parkway Traffic Signal Synchronization Project	O&M	21/22	\$ 126,720	24	6/22/2027
3	OCTA <sup>1,3</sup>	19-OCTA-TSP-3939	P	Red Hill Avenue Corridor RTSSP	IMP	20/21	\$ 1,613,352	24	11/19/2025
<b>Comprehensive Transportation Funding Programs Timely-Use of Funds Extensions (3) - Total Phase Grants</b>							<b>\$ 5,353,620</b>		

\*Once obligated Comprehensive Transportation Funding Programs funds expire 36 months from the contract award date. Local agencies may request extension(s) of up to an additional 24 months.

**Reasons for Project Adjustments**

1. Coronavirus impacts
2. Project closeout delays
3. Construction related (supply chain delays, design modifications)

**Acronyms**

- FY - Fiscal year  
 IMP - Implementation  
 O&M - Operations and Maintenance  
 OCTA - Orange County Transportation Authority  
 RTSSP - Regional Traffic Signal Synchronization Program

**Comprehensive Transportation Funding Programs**  
 March 2023 Semi-Annual Review Adjustment Requests

Timely-Use of Funds Extension Requests - LFS*					
No	Agency	FY of Disbursement	Disbursement	Proposed Extension Amount	FY Extension Deadline for Tracking^
1-5	Brea	FY 2020/21	\$ 168,049	\$ 168,049	6/30/2025
			\$ 199,110	\$ 199,110	6/30/2025
			\$ 146,299	\$ 146,299	6/30/2025
			\$ 179,433	\$ 179,433	6/30/2025
			\$ 169,415	\$ 169,415	6/30/2025
6-10	La Habra	FY 2020/21	\$ 154,516	\$ 154,516	6/30/2025
			\$ 183,076	\$ 183,076	6/30/2025
			\$ 134,518	\$ 134,518	6/30/2025
			\$ 164,984	\$ 164,984	6/30/2025
			\$ 159,851	\$ 159,851	6/30/2025
11	La Palma <sup>1,2</sup>	FY 2019/20	\$ 71,092	\$ 71,092	6/30/2024
12	Los Alamitos <sup>1,2</sup>	FY 2019/20	\$ 111,052	\$ 111,052	6/30/2024
13	Newport Beach	FY 2020/21	\$ 322,463	\$ 271,098	6/30/2025
14	Seal Beach <sup>1,2</sup>	FY 2019/20	\$ 430,790	\$ 430,790	6/30/2024
15-19	Seal Beach	FY 2020/21	\$ 68,968	\$ 68,968	6/30/2025
			\$ 81,716	\$ 81,716	6/30/2025
			\$ 60,042	\$ 60,042	6/30/2025
			\$ 73,640	\$ 73,640	6/30/2025
			\$ 69,529	\$ 69,529	6/30/2025
20-24	Yorba Linda	FY 2020/21	\$ 177,711	\$ 177,711	6/30/2025
			\$ 210,558	\$ 210,558	6/30/2025
			\$ 154,711	\$ 154,711	6/30/2025
			\$ 189,750	\$ 189,750	6/30/2025
			\$ 179,156	\$ 179,156	6/30/2025
<b>LFS Timely-Use of Funds Extensions (24) - Total</b>				<b>\$ 3,809,064</b>	

\*Net revenues received by local jurisdictions through the LFS Program shall be expended within three years of receipt. An extension may be granted but is limited to a total of five years from the date of receipt of funds.

^The Orange County Transportation Authority tracks expenditures based on the FY of receipt and processes extension requests in FY increments for uniform review purposes. However, the local jurisdiction has three years from the disbursement date to expend funds and with approval of this action, will have an additional two-year extension. The disbursements in this table are recommended to be approved for a two-year extension, which may result in eligible expenditures occurring after the FY extension tracking date, which falls at the end of the FY (June 30th). For expenses incurred after the June 30th FY extension tracking deadline, the local jurisdiction will be responsible for providing appropriate back up as requested to demonstrate that the funds were spent within the three years from date of disbursement if no extension is requested or the three years plus two additional years if an extension is requested.

Requests for extensions should be submitted as part of the semi-annual review process prior to the end of the second fiscal year of receipt of funds. Requests for extensions must also include a plan of expenditure.

**Waiver Requests**

1. M2 Eligibility Guidelines - funds extensions must be submitted prior to expiration
2. CTFP and M2 Eligibility Guidelines - plan of expenditure must be included with requests through the semi-annual review

**Acronyms**

FY - Fiscal year  
 LFS - Local Fair Share

**Comprehensive Transportation Funding Programs**  
 March 2023 Semi-Annual Review Adjustment Requests

<b>Timely-Use of Funds Extension Requests - SMP*</b>					
No	Agency	FY of Disbursement	Disbursement	Proposed Extension Amount	FY Extension Deadline for Tracking^
1-5	Anaheim	FY 2020-21	\$ 47,805	\$ 43,436	6/30/2025
			\$ 56,641	\$ 56,641	6/30/2025
			\$ 41,618	\$ 41,618	6/30/2025
			\$ 51,044	\$ 51,044	6/30/2025
			\$ 48,194	\$ 48,194	6/30/2025
6-10	Cypress <sup>1</sup>	FY 2020-21	\$ 9,151	\$ 9,151	6/30/2025
			\$ 10,843	\$ 10,843	6/30/2025
			\$ 7,967	\$ 7,967	6/30/2025
			\$ 9,771	\$ 9,771	6/30/2025
			\$ 9,226	\$ 9,226	6/30/2025
11	Dana Point <sup>1</sup>	FY 2020-21	\$ 8,735	\$ 8,735	6/30/2025
12-16	Fullerton <sup>1</sup>	FY 2020-21	\$ 23,043	\$ 23,043	6/30/2025
			\$ 27,302	\$ 27,302	6/30/2025
			\$ 20,060	\$ 20,060	6/30/2025
			\$ 24,604	\$ 24,604	6/30/2025
			\$ 23,230	\$ 23,230	6/30/2025
17-21	Garden Grove <sup>1</sup>	FY 2020-21	\$ 27,589	\$ 17,195	6/30/2025
			\$ 32,688	\$ 32,688	6/30/2025
			\$ 24,018	\$ 24,018	6/30/2025
			\$ 29,458	\$ 29,458	6/30/2025
			\$ 27,813	\$ 27,813	6/30/2025
22-26	Laguna Hills <sup>1</sup>	FY 2020-21	\$ 6,219	\$ 6,219	6/30/2025
			\$ 7,368	\$ 7,368	6/30/2025
			\$ 5,414	\$ 5,414	6/30/2025
			\$ 6,640	\$ 6,640	6/30/2025
			\$ 6,269	\$ 6,269	6/30/2025
27	Laguna Niguel <sup>1,2</sup>	FY 2019-20	\$ 80,881	\$ 80,881	6/30/2024
28-30	Lake Forest <sup>1</sup>	FY 2020-21	\$ 10,316	\$ 10,316	6/30/2025
			\$ 12,653	\$ 12,653	6/30/2025
			\$ 11,946	\$ 11,946	6/30/2025
31-35	Mission Viejo <sup>1</sup>	FY 2020-21	\$ 20,518	\$ 20,518	6/30/2025
			\$ 24,310	\$ 24,310	6/30/2025
			\$ 17,862	\$ 17,862	6/30/2025
			\$ 21,908	\$ 21,908	6/30/2025
			\$ 20,684	\$ 20,684	6/30/2025
36	Orange <sup>1,2</sup>	FY 2019-20	\$ 137,073	\$ 137,073	6/30/2024
37-41	Orange <sup>1</sup>	FY 2020-21	\$ 22,178	\$ 22,178	6/30/2025
			\$ 26,278	\$ 26,278	6/30/2025
			\$ 19,038	\$ 19,038	6/30/2025
			\$ 23,681	\$ 23,681	6/30/2025
			\$ 22,359	\$ 22,359	6/30/2025

**Comprehensive Transportation Funding Programs**  
**March 2023 Semi-Annual Review Adjustment Requests**

42	Placentia <sup>1,2</sup>	FY 2019-20	\$ 59,016	\$ 59,016	6/30/2024
43	Placentia <sup>1</sup>	FY 2020-21	\$ 9,549	\$ 9,549	6/30/2025
44	Rancho Santa Margarita <sup>1,2</sup>	FY 2019-20	\$ 29,075	\$ 29,075	6/30/2024
45-49	Rancho Santa Margarita <sup>1</sup>	FY 2020-21	\$ 4,704	\$ 4,704	6/30/2025
			\$ 5,574	\$ 5,574	6/30/2025
			\$ 4,096	\$ 4,096	6/30/2025
			\$ 5,023	\$ 5,023	6/30/2025
			\$ 4,743	\$ 4,743	6/30/2025
50-54	San Clemente <sup>1</sup>	FY 2020-21	\$ 12,778	\$ 12,778	6/30/2025
			\$ 15,140	\$ 15,140	6/30/2025
			\$ 11,124	\$ 11,124	6/30/2025
			\$ 13,644	\$ 13,644	6/30/2025
			\$ 12,882	\$ 12,882	6/30/2025
55-59	Santa Ana <sup>1</sup>	FY 2020-21	\$ 34,016	\$ 34,016	6/30/2025
			\$ 40,303	\$ 40,303	6/30/2025
			\$ 29,613	\$ 29,613	6/30/2025
			\$ 36,320	\$ 36,320	6/30/2025
			\$ 34,292	\$ 34,292	6/30/2025
60-64	Villa Park <sup>1</sup>	FY 2020-21	\$ 1,950	\$ 1,950	6/30/2025
			\$ 2,311	\$ 2,311	6/30/2025
			\$ 1,698	\$ 1,698	6/30/2025
			\$ 2,082	\$ 2,082	6/30/2025
			\$ 1,966	\$ 1,966	6/30/2025
<b>SMP Timely-Use of Funds Extensions (64) - Total</b>			<b>\$ 1,421,530</b>		

\*Net revenues received by local jurisdictions through the SMP shall be expended within three years. An extension may be granted but is limited to a total of five years from the date of receipt of funds.

^The Orange County Transportation Authority tracks expenditures based on the FY of receipt and processes extension requests in FY increments for uniform review purposes. However, the local jurisdiction has three years from the disbursement date to expend funds and with approval of this action, will have an additional two-year extension. The disbursements in this table are recommended to be approved for a two-year extension, which may result in eligible expenditures occurring after the FY extension tracking date, which falls at the end of the FY (June 30th). For expenses incurred after the June 30th FY extension tracking deadline, the local jurisdiction will be responsible for providing appropriate back up as requested to demonstrate that the funds were spent within the three years from date of disbursement if no extension is requested or the three years plus two additional years if an extension is requested. Requests for extensions should be submitted as part of the semi-annual review process prior to the end of the second fiscal year of receipt of funds. Requests for extensions must also include a service plan.

**Reasons for Project Adjustments**

1. Coronavirus impacts

**Acronyms**

FY - Fiscal year

SMP - Senior Mobility Program

**Waiver Request**

2. SMP Guidelines - participating cities and eligible agencies requesting an extension beyond the three-year limitation must submit a justification letter for review and approval by OCTA at least 90 days prior to the end of the third fiscal year.

**Comprehensive Transportation Funding Programs**  
 March 2023 Semi-Annual Review Adjustment Requests

**Scope Change Requests\***

No	Agency	Project Number	Project	Project Title	Summary of Scope Change	Phase	Current FY	Current Grant
1	Costa Mesa	20-CMSA-TSP-3972 <sup>2</sup>	P	Baker/Victoria/19th TSSP	New location for equipment installation	IMP	21/22	\$ 1,593,244
2	Dana Point	16-DPNT-CBT-3823 <sup>3</sup>	V	Dana Point PCH Trolley	Adding the lease buyout of a fifth trolley.	O&M	16/17M	\$ 905,968
3	Fullerton	18-FULL-TSP-3896 <sup>2</sup>	P	Orangethorpe Avenue/Esperanza Road Corridor RTSSP	Adding equipment and modification to quantities	IMP	18/19	\$ 3,413,508
4	Fullerton	19-FULL-TSP-3936 <sup>2</sup>	P	Harbor Boulevard Corridor	Adding equipment and modification to quantities	IMP	21/22	\$ 2,105,395
5	Huntington Beach	20-HBCH-CBT-3960 <sup>4</sup>	V	HB Southeast Rideshare Pilot Program	Modification to service the southwesterly portion of the city	O&M	22/23M	\$ 794,240
6	La Habra	20-LHAB-TSP-3975 <sup>2</sup>	P	Lambert Road Corridor	Adding equipment and modification to quantities	IMP	20/21	\$ 1,813,074
7	Mission Viejo	22-MVJO-ECP-4029 <sup>2</sup>	X	Trash and Runoff Abatement Project: Northerly Area	Increase in pipe screens and location modifications	CON	22/23	\$ 160,000
8	Newport Beach	20-NBCH-CBT-3956 <sup>3,4</sup>	V	Balboa Island/Corona del Mar Microtransit Feasibility Study	Expansion feasibility study area	PLAN	22/23	\$ 58,500
9	OCTA	18-OCTA-TSP-3897 <sup>2,5</sup>	P	Garden Grove Boulevard TSSP (Valley View Street - Bristol Street)	Modification equipment and modification to quantities	IMP	18/19	\$ 757,031
10	OCTA	19-OCTA-TSP-3941 <sup>1,2</sup>	P	Aliso Creek Road TSSP	Modification equipment and modification to quantities	IMP	20/21	\$ 1,103,658
<b>Scope Changes (10) - Total Phase Grants</b>								<b>\$ 12,704,618</b>

\*Agencies may request minor scope changes for Comprehensive Transportation Funding Programs projects so long as the agency can demonstrate substantial consistency and attainment of proposed transportation benefits compared to the original project scope as committed to in the project application. No additional funding is being requested to effectuate the proposed modifications.

**Reasons for Project Adjustments**

1. Coronavirus impacts
2. Construction related (design modifications, relocation of equipment, equipment changes)
3. Service area modification
4. Enhanced project benefits (enhanced video detection, enhanced communications network, expanded study area)
5. Equipment installed as part of another project

**Acronyms**

- |   |   |
|---|---|
| CON - Construction                            | PCH - Pacific Coast Highway                   |
| FY - Fiscal year                              | PLAN - Planning Study                         |
| HB - Huntington Beach                         | TSSP - Traffic Signal Synchronization Project |
| IMP - Implementation                          |   |
| M - Multiple years                            |   |
| O&M - Operations and Maintenance              |   |
| OCTA - Orange County Transportation Authority |   |

**Comprehensive Transportation Funding Programs**  
 March 2023 Semi-Annual Review Adjustment Requests

<b>Transfer Requests*</b>									
No	Agency	Project Number	Project	Project Title	Phase	Current FY	Current Grant	Transfer Amount	Proposed Grant
1	Anaheim	17-ANAH-ACE-3860 <sup>1</sup>	O	Lincoln Avenue from East Street to Evergreen Street	ROW	17/18	\$ 1,147,669	TBD	TBD
		22-ANAH-ACE-4014		Lincoln Widening Avenue (East Street to Evergreen Street)	CON	22/23	\$ 5,341,867	TBD	TBD
2	Dana Point	14-DPNT-CBT-3742 <sup>1</sup>	V	Summer Weekend Trolley/Harbor Shuttle	CAP	14/15M	\$ 330,388	\$ (70,516)	\$ 259,872
					O&M	14/15M	\$ 2,126,123	\$ 70,516	\$ 2,196,639
3	OCTA	18-OCTA-TSP-3905 <sup>1</sup>	P	Los Alisos Boulevard Route Project	IMP	18/19	\$ 654,327	\$ (2,342)	\$ 651,985
					O&M	21/22	\$ 31,140	\$ 2,342	\$ 33,482
<b>Transfer Requests (3) - Total Project Grants</b>							<b>\$ 9,631,514</b>		

\*An implementing agency may request to transfer 100 percent of savings between subsequent phases (or years) within a project. Funds can only be transferred to a phase that has already been awarded competitive funds. Such requests must be made prior to the acceptance of a final report and submitted as part of a semi-annual review process.

**Reasons for Project Adjustments**

1. Project savings

**Acronyms**

CAP - Capital  
 CON - Construction  
 FY - Fiscal year  
 IMP - Implementation  
 M - Multiple years  
 OCTA - Orange County Transportation Authority  
 O&M - Operations and Maintenance  
 ROW - Right-of-way  
 TBD - To be determined



**Comprehensive Transportation Funding Programs  
March 2023 Semi-Annual Review Adjustment Request Descriptions**

**Cancellations**

Local agencies may request to cancel projects at any time for any reason. Cancelled projects are eligible to reapply upon resolution of the issues that led to the original project cancellation. During the March 2023 review cycle, the following cancellation requests were received pursuant to the Temporary Policy for Flexible Cancellation of Project Allocations to Reapply and Pre-Award Authority authorized in August 2022 by the Orange County Transportation Authority (OCTA) Board of Directors (Board).

The City of Santa Ana (Santa Ana) is requesting cancellations for the construction (CON) phase for the following four projects due to the unprecedented increase in construction costs above and beyond original project estimates. The request marks completion of all criteria by Santa Ana as required for the project reapplications submitted in the 2023 CTFP call for projects to be eligible for programming consideration.

- The CON phase of Bristol Street Improvements Phase 3A - Civic Center Drive to Washington Avenue (20-SNTA-ACE-3968)
- The CON phase of Bristol Street Improvements Phase 4 - Warner Avenue to St. Andrew Place (20-SNTA-ACE-3969)
- The CON phase of Warner Avenue Improvements (Oak Street to Grand Avenue) (21-SNTA-ACE-3997)
- The CON phase of Bristol Street and Memory Lane Intersection Improvements (21-SNTA-ICE-3995)

The City of Yorba Linda (Yorba Linda) is requesting a cancellation for the CON phase of the Lakeview Avenue Widening from Bastanchury Road to Oriente Drive Project (21-YLND-ACE-3998) due to the unprecedented increase in construction costs above and beyond original project estimates. The request marks completion of all criteria by Yorba Linda as required for the project reapplication submitted in the 2023 CTFP call for projects to be eligible for programming consideration.

**Delays**

Local agencies may request a one-time delay of up to 24 months to obligate funds. During the March 2023 semi-annual review cycle, the following delay requests were submitted.

OCTA, as administrative lead, is requesting a 24-month delay for the operations and maintenance (O&M) phase for the following three projects. Additional time is required due to unforeseen prolonged supply chain impacts exacerbated by the coronavirus (COVID-19) pandemic, which resulted in construction-related delays during the implementation (IMP) phase.

- The O&M phase of Alton Parkway Regional Traffic Signal Synchronization Program Project (21-OCTA-TSP-4000)
- The O&M phase of Portola Parkway/Santa Margarita Parkway Traffic Signal Synchronization Project (21-OCTA-TSP-4001)
- The O&M phase of First Street/ Bolsa Avenue Regional Traffic Signal Synchronization Program Project (21-OCTA-TSP-4002)

Santa Ana Santa Ana is requesting a 24-month delay for the following two projects. Additional time is required due to unforeseen funding coordination issues resulting from the pandemic and staff turnover impacts.

- The CON phase of the Fairview Street Improvements (9th Street to 16th Street) (21-SNTA-ACE-3996)
- The ENG phase of the Fairview Street Improvements (Monte Carlo Drive to Trask Street) (22-SNTA-ACE-4020)

**Comprehensive Transportation Funding Programs (CTFP) Timely-Use of Funds Extensions**

Once obligated, CTFP funds expire 36 months from the contract award date. Local agencies may request an extension(s) of up to 24 months. During this semi-annual review cycle, the following CTFP timely-use of funds extension requests were submitted.

The City of Irvine is requesting a 24-month timely-use of funds extension for both the IMP and O&M phases of the Barranca Parkway Traffic Signal Synchronization Project (20-IRVN-TSP-3974), from May 2024 to May 2026 for the IMP phase and from June 2025 to June 2027 for the O&M phase. This request is due to unforeseen staffing and schedule coordination issues resulting from the COVID-19 pandemic during the design phase. Additional time is also required due to construction-related delays from unforeseen supply chain impacts prolonged by the pandemic and to allow sufficient time to finalize project closeout processes.

OCTA, as lead agency, is requesting a 24-month timely-use of funds extension for the IMP phase of the Red Hill Avenue Corridor Regional Traffic Signal Synchronization Program Project (19-OCTA-TSP-3939), from November 2023 to November 2025. This request is due to unforeseen construction-related delays from supply chain impacts prolonged by the pandemic.

**Local Fair Share (LFS) Timely-Use of Funds Extensions**

Once issued, LFS funds expire three years from the check issuance date. An extension may be granted but is limited to a total of five years from the date of disbursement. For

review purposes, OCTA tracks expenditures based on the fiscal year<sup>1</sup> (FY) of receipt. Requests for extensions must be submitted prior to expiration and should be submitted as part of the semi-annual review process prior to the end of the second FY funds were disbursed. Local agencies may request an extension(s) of up to two years for Board consideration; however, OCTA will track Board-approved extensions in FY increments. During this semi-annual review cycle, the following timely-use of funds LFS extension requests were submitted:

The City of Brea is requesting a two-year timely-use of funds extension for \$862,306. The funds being considered for extension were disbursed in FY 2020-21 through five separate installments and should be expended by the FY extension tracking deadlines provided in Attachment A. The City has indicated these funds will be directed towards traffic signal improvements and citywide street rehabilitation improvements.

- \$168,049, from June 2023 to June 2025
- \$199,110, from June 2023 to June 2025
- \$146,299, from June 2023 to June 2025
- \$179,433, from June 2023 to June 2025
- \$169,415, from June 2023 to June 2025

The City of La Habra (La Habra) is requesting a two -year timely-use of funds extension for \$796,945. The funds being considered for extensions were disbursed in FY 2020-21 through five separate installments and should be expended by the FY extension deadlines provided in Attachment A. The City has indicated these funds will be directed towards street rehabilitation and ally improvements.

- \$154,516, from June 2023 to June 2025
- \$183,076, from June 2023 to June 2025
- \$134,518, from June 2023 to June 2025
- \$164,984, from June 2023 to June 2025
- \$159,851, from June 2023 to June 2025

The City of La Palma is requesting a two -year timely-use of funds extension for \$71,092. The funds being considered for extension were disbursed in FY 2019-20 and should be expended by the FY extension deadline provided in Attachment A. Note: as part of this adjustment, Board approval of a waiver is requested to the Measure M2 (M2) Eligibility Guidelines requirement that funds extensions must be submitted as part of the semi-annual review process prior to expiration. A waiver to the CTFP and M2 Eligibility Guidelines that a plan of expenditure be submitted as part of an LFS timely-use of funds extensions request is also requested.

- \$38,834, from June 2022 to June 2024
- \$32,258, from June 2022 to June 2024

---

<sup>1</sup> Fiscal year means July 1 through June 30. For example, funds received in March 2021, tracked by fiscal year, should be spent by June 30, 2023.

The City of Los Alamitos is requesting a two-year timely-use of funds extension for \$111,052. The funds being considered for extension were disbursed in FY 2019-20 through three separate installments and should be expended by the FY extension deadline provided in Attachment A. Note: as part of this adjustment, Board approval of a waiver is requested to the M2 Eligibility Guidelines requirement that funds extensions must be submitted as part of the semi-annual review process prior to expiration. A waiver to the CTFP and M2 Eligibility Guidelines that a plan of expenditure be submitted as part of an LFS timely-use of funds extensions request is also requested.

- \$49,338, from June 2022 to June 2024
- \$33,711, from June 2022 to June 2024
- \$28,003, from June 2022 to June 2024

The City of Newport Beach (Newport Beach) is requesting a two-year timely use of funds extension for \$271,098. The funds being considered for extensions were disbursed in FY 2020-21 in one installment and should be expended by the FY extension deadline provided in Attachment A. The City has indicated these funds will be directed towards pavement rehabilitation.

- \$271,098, from June 2023 to June 2025

The City of Seal Beach (Seal Beach) is requesting a two-year timely-use of funds extension for \$430,791. The funds being considered for extension were disbursed in FY 2019-20 through six separate installments and should be expended by the extension deadline provided in Attachment A. Note: as part of this adjustment, Board approval of a waiver is requested to the M2 Eligibility Guidelines requirement that funds extensions must be submitted as part of the semi-annual review process prior to expiration. A waiver to the CTFP and M2 Eligibility Guidelines that a plan of expenditure be submitted as part of an LFS timely-use of funds extensions request is also requested.

- \$80,266, from June 2022 to June 2024
- \$77,875, from June 2022 to June 2024
- \$69,118, from June 2022 to June 2024
- \$90,425, from June 2022 to June 2024
- \$61,784, from June 2022 to June 2024
- \$51,322, from June 2022 to June 2024

Seal Beach is also requesting a two-year timely-use of funds extension for \$353,895. The funds being considered for extensions were disbursed in FY 2020-21 through five separate installments and should be expended by the FY extension deadlines provided in Attachment A. The City has indicated these funds will be directed towards bike lane improvements.

- \$68,968, from June 2023 to June 2025
- \$81,716, from June 2023 to June 2025
- \$60,042, from June 2023 to June 2025

- \$73,640, from June 2023 to June 2025
- \$69,529, from June 2023 to June 2025

Yorba Linda is requesting a two-year timely-use of funds extension for \$911,886. The funds being considered for extensions were disbursed in FY 2020-21 through five separate installments and should be expended by the FY extension deadlines provided in Attachment A. The City has indicated these funds will be directed towards traffic signal improvements and street rehabilitation.

- \$177,711, from June 2023 to June 2025
- \$210,558, from June 2023 to June 2025
- \$154,711, from June 2023 to June 2025
- \$189,750, from June 2023 to June 2025
- \$179,156, from June 2023 to June 2025

### **Senior Mobility Program (SMP) Timely Use of Funds Extensions**

Once issued, SMP funds expire 36 months from the check issuance date. Local agencies may request an extension(s) of up to 24 months. During this semi-annual review cycle, the following timely use of funds SMP extension requests were submitted:

The City of Anaheim (Anaheim) is requesting a 24-month timely use of funds extension for \$240,933. The funds being considered for extensions were disbursed in FY 2020-21 through five separate installments and should be expended by the FY extension deadlines provided in Attachment A.

- \$43,436, from June 2023 to June 2025
- \$56,641, from June 2023 to June 2025
- \$41,618, from June 2023 to June 2025
- \$51,044, from June 2023 to June 2025
- \$48,194, from June 2023 to June 2025

The City of Cypress is requesting a 24-month timely use of funds extension for \$46,958. The funds being considered for extensions were disbursed in FY 2020-21 through five separate installments and should be expended by the FY extension deadlines provided in Attachment A.

- \$9,151, from June 2023 to June 2025
- \$10,843, from June 2023 to June 2025
- \$7,967, from June 2023 to June 2025
- \$9,771, from June 2023 to June 2025
- \$9,226, from June 2023 to June 2025

The City of Dana Point (Dana Point) is requesting a 24-month timely use of funds extension for \$8,735. The funds being considered for extension were disbursed in

FY 2020-21 as one installment and should be expended by the FY extension deadline provided in Attachment A.

- \$8,735, from June 2023 to June 2025

The City of Fullerton (Fullerton) is requesting a 24-month timely use of funds extension for \$118,238. The funds being considered for extensions were disbursed in FY 2020-21 through five separate installments and should be expended by the FY extension deadlines provided in Attachment A.

- \$23,043, from June 2023 to June 2025
- \$27,302, from June 2023 to June 2025
- \$20,060, from June 2023 to June 2025
- \$24,604, from June 2023 to June 2025
- \$23,230, from June 2023 to June 2025

The City of Garden Grove is requesting a 24-month timely use of funds extension for \$131,172. The funds being considered for extensions were disbursed in FY 2020-21 through five separate installments and should be expended by the FY extension deadlines provided in Attachment A.

- \$17,195, from June 2023 to June 2025
- \$32,688, from June 2023 to June 2025
- \$24,018, from June 2023 to June 2025
- \$29,458, from June 2023 to June 2025
- \$27,813, from June 2023 to June 2025

The City of Laguna Hills is requesting a 24-month timely use of funds extension for \$31,910. The funds being considered for extensions were disbursed in FY 2020-21 through five separate installments and should be expended by the FY extension deadlines provided in Attachment A.

- \$6,219, from June 2023 to June 2025
- \$7,368, from June 2023 to June 2025
- \$5,414, from June 2023 to June 2025
- \$6,640, from June 2023 to June 2025
- \$6,269, from June 2023 to June 2025

The City of Laguna Niguel is requesting a 24-month timely-use of funds extension for \$80,882. The funds being considered for extension were disbursed in FY 2019-20 through six separate installments and should be expended by the FY extension deadlines provided in Attachment A. Note: as part of this adjustment, Board approval is requested of a waiver to the SMP Guidelines requirement that participating cities and eligible agencies requesting an extension beyond the three-year limitation must submit a justification letter for review and approval by OCTA at least 90 days prior to the end of the third fiscal year.

- \$15,070, from June 2022 to June 2024
- \$14,621, from June 2022 to June 2024
- \$12,977, from June 2022 to June 2024
- \$16,977, from June 2022 to June 2024
- \$11,600, from June 2022 to June 2024
- \$9,636, from June 2022 to June 2024

The City of Lake Forest is requesting a 24-month timely use of funds extension for \$34,915. The funds being considered for extensions were disbursed in FY 2020-21 through three separate installments and should be expended by the FY extension deadlines provided in Attachment A.

- \$10,316, from June 2023 to June 2025
- \$12,653, from June 2023 to June 2025
- \$11,946, from June 2023 to June 2025

The City of Mission Viejo (Mission Viejo) is requesting a 24-month timely use of funds extension for \$105,282. The funds being considered for extensions were disbursed in FY 2020-21 through five separate installments and should be expended by the FY extension deadlines provided in Attachment A.

- \$20,518, from June 2023 to June 2025
- \$24,310, from June 2023 to June 2025
- \$17,862, from June 2023 to June 2025
- \$21,908, from June 2023 to June 2025
- \$20,684, from June 2023 to June 2025

The City of Orange (Orange) is requesting a 24-month timely-use of funds extension for \$137,073. The funds being considered for extension were disbursed in FY 2019-20 through six separate installments and should be expended by the FY extension deadlines provided in Attachment A. Note: as part of this adjustment, Board approval is requested of a waiver to the SMP Guidelines requirement that participating cities and eligible agencies requesting an extension beyond the three-year limitation must submit a justification letter for review and approval by OCTA at least 90 days prior to the end of the third fiscal year.

- \$25,540, from June 2022 to June 2024
- \$24,779, from June 2022 to June 2024
- \$21,993, from June 2022 to June 2024
- \$28,772, from June 2022 to June 2024
- \$19,659, from June 2022 to June 2024
- \$16,330, from June 2022 to June 2024

Orange is also requesting a 24-month timely use of funds extension for \$113,533. The funds being considered for extensions were disbursed in FY 2020-21 through five separate

installments and should be expended by the FY extension deadlines provided in Attachment A.

- \$22,178, from June 2023 to June 2025
- \$26,278, from June 2023 to June 2025
- \$19,038, from June 2023 to June 2025
- \$23,681, from June 2023 to June 2025
- \$22,359, from June 2023 to June 2025

The City of Placentia (Placentia) is requesting a 24-month timely-use of funds extension for \$59,016. The funds being considered for extension were disbursed in FY 2019-20 through six separate installments and should be expended by the FY extension deadlines provided in Attachment A. Note: as part of this adjustment, Board approval is requested of a waiver to the SMP Guidelines requirement that participating cities and eligible agencies requesting an extension beyond the three-year limitation must submit a justification letter for review and approval by OCTA at least 90 days prior to the end of the third fiscal year.

- \$10,996, from June 2022 to June 2024
- \$10,668, from June 2022 to June 2024
- \$9,469, from June 2022 to June 2024
- \$12,388, from June 2022 to June 2024
- \$8,464, from June 2022 to June 2024
- \$7,031, from June 2022 to June 2024

Placentia is also requesting a 24-month timely use of funds extension for \$9,549. The funds being considered for extension were disbursed in FY 2020-21 as one installment and should be expended by the FY extension deadline provided in Attachment A.

- \$9,549, from June 2023 to June 2025

The City of Rancho Santa Margarita (Rancho Santa Margarita) is requesting a 24-month timely-use of funds extension for \$430,791. The funds being considered for extension were disbursed in FY 2019-20 through six separate installments and should be expended by the FY extension deadlines provided in Attachment A. Note: as part of this adjustment, Board approval is requested of a waiver to the SMP Guidelines requirement that participating cities and eligible agencies requesting an extension beyond the three-year limitation must submit a justification letter for review and approval by OCTA at least 90 days prior to the end of the third fiscal year.

- \$5,417, from June 2022 to June 2024
- \$5,256, from June 2022 to June 2024
- \$4,665, from June 2022 to June 2024
- \$6,103, from June 2022 to June 2024
- \$4,170, from June 2022 to June 2024
- \$3,464, from June 2022 to June 2024



Rancho Santa Margarita is also requesting a 24-month timely use of funds extension for \$24,140. The funds being considered for extensions were disbursed in FY 2020-21 through five separate installments and should be expended by the FY extension deadlines provided in Attachment A.

- \$4,704, from June 2023 to June 2025
- \$5,574, from June 2023 to June 2025
- \$4,096, from June 2023 to June 2025
- \$5,023, from June 2023 to June 2025
- \$4,743, from June 2023 to June 2025

The City of San Clemente is requesting a 24-month timely use of funds extension for \$65,568. The funds being considered for extensions were disbursed in FY 2020-21 through five separate installments and should be expended by the FY extension deadlines provided in Attachment A.

- \$12,778, from June 2023 to June 2025
- \$15,140, from June 2023 to June 2025
- \$11,124, from June 2023 to June 2025
- \$13,644, from June 2023 to June 2025
- \$12,882, from June 2023 to June 2025

Santa Ana is requesting a 24-month timely use of funds extension for \$174,544. The funds being considered for extensions were disbursed in FY 2020-21 through five separate installments and should be expended by the FY extension deadlines provided in Attachment A.

- \$34,016, from June 2023 to June 2025
- \$40,303, from June 2023 to June 2025
- \$29,613, from June 2023 to June 2025
- \$36,320, from June 2023 to June 2025
- \$34,292, from June 2023 to June 2025

The City of Villa Park is requesting a 24-month timely use of funds extension for \$10,007. The funds being considered for extensions were disbursed in FY 2020-21 through five separate installments and should be expended by the FY extension deadlines provided in Attachment A.

- \$1,950, from June 2023 to June 2025
- \$2,311, from June 2023 to June 2025
- \$1,698, from June 2023 to June 2025
- \$2,082, from June 2023 to June 2025
- \$1,966, from June 2023 to June 2025

## **Scope Changes**

Agencies may request minor scope changes for CTFP projects if they can assure that project benefits as committed to in the initial application can still be delivered. During this semi-annual review cycle, the following scope change requests were submitted.

The City of Costa Mesa is requesting a scope change for the IMP phase of the Bake/Victoria/19<sup>th</sup> Traffic Signal Synchronization Project (20-CMSA-TSP-3972), due to relocation of equipment installations.

Dana Point is requesting a scope change for the Dana Point PCH Trolley Service (16-DPNT-CBT-3823) to include the lease buyout of a fifth trolley utilized for existing M2-funded services through the Community-Based Transit Circulators Program (Project V).

Fullerton is requesting a scope change for the IMP phase of the Orangethorpe Avenue/Esperanza Road Corridor Regional Traffic Signal Synchronization Project (18-FULL-TSP-3896). The scope change includes the addition of communication switches, other peripheral equipment, and central system intersection licenses, as well as modifications to quantities and/or location of equipment installations.

Fullerton is also requesting a scope change for the IMP phase of the Harbor Boulevard Corridor Project (19-FULL-TSP-3936). The scope change includes the addition of communication switches and other peripheral equipment, as well as modifications to quantities and/or location of equipment installations.

The City of Huntington Beach is requesting a scope change for the O&M phase of the HB Southeast Rideshare Pilot Program (20-HBCH-CBT-3960). The scope change includes a service area modification for coverage in the southeasterly section of city limits.

La Habra is requesting a scope change for the IMP phase of the Lambert Road Corridor Regional Traffic Signal Synchronization Project (20-LHAB-TSP-3975). The scope change includes the addition of gigabit switches, new traffic signal service enclosures, as well as modifications to quantities and/or location of equipment installations.

Mission Viejo is requesting a scope change for the CON phase of the Trash and Runoff Abatement Project: Northerly Area Project (22-MVJO-ECP-4029). The scope change includes increasing the number of connector pipe screens (CPS) from 33 CPS to 34 CPS and replacing two catch basin locations receiving installations with three alternate locations within the same project limits due to concerns raised during the field inspection.

Newport Beach is requesting a scope change for the planning study phase of the Balboa Island/Corona del Mar Microtransit Feasibility Study (20-NBCH-CBT-3956), due to City Council interest in expanding the study area for future community-based transit.

OCTA, as administrative lead, for the Garden Grove Boulevard Traffic Signal Synchronization Project (Valley View Street - Bristol Street) (18-OCTA-TSP-3897) is requesting a scope change to the IMP phase with several components, which include the

removal of equipment that are no longer necessary, modifications to improvement locations, increased conduit quantities, added rewiring at various locations, and the addition of a video detection system, accessible pedestrian system, and other equipment cables. These modifications emerged during the design process and field review and are requested in order to facilitate project completion and utilize project cost savings to enhance overall project benefits.

OCTA, as administrative lead, for the Aliso Creek Road Traffic Signal Synchronization Project (19-OCTA-TSP-3941) is requesting a scope change to the IMP phase with several components, which include the removal of equipment that are no longer necessary, modifications to improvement locations, and the addition of network operations equipment. These modifications emerged during the design process and are requested in order to facilitate project completion and utilize project cost savings to enhance overall project benefits, as well as cover price increases in the cost of equipment and materials due to supply chain impacts prolonged by the pandemic.

### **Transfers**

The CTFP Guidelines allow agencies to request to transfer up to 100 percent of savings of funds between subsequent phases or years within a project. Funds can only be transferred to a phase or year that has already been awarded competitive funds.

Such requests must be made prior to the acceptance of a final report and submitted as part of the semi-annual review process. During this review cycle, the following transfer requests were submitted due to the need to utilize project savings.

Anaheim is requesting a transfer for the Lincoln Avenue Widening from East Street to Evergreen Street Project (17-ANAH-ACE-3860). The request is to transfer project savings in an amount to be determined from the right-of-way phase to the CON phase through the Lincoln Widening Avenue Project (East Street to Evergreen Street).

Dana Point is requesting a transfer for the Summer Weekend Trolley/Harbor Shuttle Service (14-DPNT-CBT-3742). The transfer includes FY 2022-23 project savings of \$70,516 from the capital phase to the O&M phase.

OCTA, as administrative lead, for the Los Alisos Boulevard Route Project (18-OCTA-TSP-3905) is requesting a transfer. The request is to transfer project savings of \$2,342 from the IMP phase to the O&M phase.



# AGENDA

*Technical Advisory Committee*

*Item# 4*

---

## **2023 Complete Streets Call for Projects**



# 2023 Complete Streets Call for Projects

# Background

- \$86.3 million awarded since 2012
- Legacy Programs:
  - Transportation Enhancements (2010)
  - State-Local Partnership Program (2012)
  - Bicycle Corridor Improvement Program (2012, 2014, 2016, 2019)



# Complete Streets Goals

- Contribute to the creation of a complete **transportation network** for all modes of travel
- Consider benefits to **all user types**
- Improve **access** for residents and visitors
- Create streets **safe** for travel even by the most vulnerable – children, older adults, and those with disabilities
- Support complementary **health** goals by improving the built environment to encourage walking and biking
- Incorporate **community** input





# Outreach

- Surveyed Orange County agency on future planned projects and project types.
- Planning documents:
  - OC Active
  - Safe Routes to School Action Plan
  - Long Range Transportation Plan
  - Multimodal Corridor Plans
  - Regional Bikeway Collaboratives
  - Bus Stop Safety and Accessibility Plan
  - OC Bike Connectors Gap Closure





# Funding Availability and Schedule

## Funding

- **Up to \$55 million** approved by the OCTA Board of Directors
- Federal funds authorized through Infrastructure Investment and Jobs Act.
- Fiscal Years **2023-2024** through **2025-2026**

## Schedule

- Call release planned by late spring/early summer
- Approvals and Project Selection by late summer



# Federal Funding Program Requirements

## Applicable to all projects

Demonstrates support for the Connect SoCal Performance Measures (including federal transportation performance measures)

[https://scag.ca.gov/sites/main/files/file-attachments/fconnectsocial\\_performance-measures.pdf](https://scag.ca.gov/sites/main/files/file-attachments/fconnectsocial_performance-measures.pdf)

- Location Efficiency
- Mobility and Accessibility
- Safety and Public Health
- Environmental Quality
- Economic Opportunity
- Investment Effectiveness
- Transportation System Sustainability
- Environmental Justice

## Applicable to only Congestion Mitigation and Air Quality Improvement Program funded projects

Documentation of expected emissions reduction and relative cost effectiveness of projects in reducing emissions for criteria air pollutants for the SCAG air basins

# Contacts

**Program Manager:**

Denise Sifford  
Senior Transportation Funding  
Analyst  
714-560-5489  
[dsifford1@octa.net](mailto:dsifford1@octa.net)

**Alternate Contact:**

Louis Zhao  
Section Manager,  
Discretionary Funding Programs  
714-560-5494  
[lzhao@octa.net](mailto:lzhao@octa.net)

**Planning Contact:**

Peter Sotherland  
Active Transportation  
Coordinator  
714-560-5386  
[psotherland@octa.net](mailto:psotherland@octa.net)



# **2024 M2 Project V Call for Projects Update**



# 2024 M2 Project V Program Call for Projects



# Background

- ◇ Four competitive calls for projects issued to date
  - 2013, 2016, 2018, and 2020
- ◇ \$52 million in M2 funding awarded
  - 35 services
  - 10 planning studies

# Project V Program Objectives

- To encourage **new**, well-coordinated, flexible transportation systems customized to each community's needs
- To develop local bus transit services such as **community-based circulators**, **shuttles**, and **bus trolleys** that complement regional bus and rail service
- To meet transportation needs in areas not served by regional transit
- To competitively fund effective and user-friendly services that **do not duplicate** or **compete with existing transit services**

# Anticipated 5<sup>th</sup> Call for Projects Schedule

- **April – May 2023:** Letters of Interest
- **Early August 2023:** Present responses to the OCTA Board
- **Late August 2023:** Transit operator vendor fair
- **September/October 2023:** Project V draft guidelines/stakeholder meeting
- **November 2023:** Board issuance of 2024 call
- **December 2023:** Application deadline
- **April 2024:** Present programming recommendations to the Board



# Contacts

## **Primary Contact:**

Adrian Salazar

Senior Transportation Funding Analyst

asalazar@octa.net

## **Alternate Contacts:**

Charvalen Alacar

Section Manager, M2 Local Programs

calacar@octa.net

Adriann Cardoso

Department Manager, Capital Programming

acardoso@octa.net



# Correspondence



## Item 6, Attachment A: OCTA Board Items of Interest

- **Monday, March 13, 2023**

*Item# 9:* Orange County Transportation Authority State and Federal Grant Programs - Update and Recommendations

*Item# 17:* Measure M2 Quarterly Progress Report for the Period of October 2022 Through December 2022

*Item# 18:* Supplemental Grant Award of Transit Intercity Rail Capital Program Funds for the OC Streetcar

- **Monday, April 10, 2023**

*Item# 9:* Measure M2 Senior Mobility Program Temporary Guideline Exception for the City of Placentia

*Item# 10:* Comprehensive Transportation Funding Programs – 2023 Call for Projects Programming Recommendations

*Item# 11:* Fiscal Year 2023-24 Measure M2 Maintenance of Effort Adjustment and Updates to the Eligibility, Countywide Pavement Management Plan, and Local Signal Synchronization Plan Guidelines



## **Item 6, Attachment B: Announcements by Email**

- 2023 Call for Projects for the Measure M2 Environmental Cleanup Program (ECP) Tier 1 is Now Open, *sent 2/22/2023*
- 2021 Pavement Management Relief Funding Program Obligation Deadline Update, *sent 3/2/2023*
- March 8, 2023 OCTA Technical Steering Committee Meeting Cancellation Notice, *sent 3/2/2023*
- REMINDER: March 2023 Measure M2 Comprehensive Transportation Funding Programs (CTFP) Semi-Annual Review Closes Friday, March 17, 2023, *sent 3/3/2023*
- FY2023-24 Measure M2 Maintenance of Effort Benchmark Adjustment, *sent 3/10/2023*
- REMINDER: March 2023 Measure M2 Comprehensive Transportation Funding Programs (CTFP) Semi-Annual Review Closes Friday, March 17, 2023, *sent 3/10/2023*
- March 22, 2023 OCTA Technical Advisory Committee Meeting Cancellation Notice, *sent 3/15/2023*
- REMINDER: 2021 Pavement Management Relief Funding Program Obligation Deadline, *sent 3/24/2023*
- 2023 Call for Projects Measure M2 ECP Tier 1 Deadline Reminder, *sent 3/27/2023*
- M2 Eligibility Workshop on Thursday, April 20, 2023 from 10:00AM - 11:30AM, *sent 4/3/2023*
- April 12, 2023 OCTA Technical Steering Committee Meeting Cancellation Notice, *sent 4/4/2023*